

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/993,569	CAVANDER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Sudhanshu C. Pathak	2634	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** allowed

(1) Sudhanshu C. Pathak.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Kenneth B. Leffler.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 January 2006

**Time:** 2pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

No

**Claims discussed:**

Yes

**Prior art documents discussed:**

No

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Claim 11 dependent claim depended on Claim 10 which was canceled. This has been amended so now claim 11 depends on claim 7.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)